# 2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: President's Security Policy Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 06:36:44 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Defense 2001

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

President's Security Policy Advisory Board 5134

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 05/09/2000 05/09/2002 05/09/2002

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

Yes Directive NSC-20 03/01/2001

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Reg to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Terminate No

11. Establishment Authority Presidential

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

Directive NSC-20 09/16/1994 Continuing Yes

15. Description of Committee National Policy Issue Advisory Board

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

	Current FY I	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee's design was to provide a forum for public discussion of security policy. In particular government contractors maintained an obvious interest in such policy and were a primary target of the committee's endeavors. The mechanism of communication was quarterly meetings held in various localities throughout the country. The committee had a major impact in communicating to the Executive Branch the depth of community feeling (negative) with respect to the backlog of personnel security investigations. The committee was also been a propulsive force in the establishment of a community wide data base for security clearance information.

#### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee had four diverse members, all of whom were currently involved in the private sector. All four also previously enjoyed government careers and, as such, bring to the table a dual perspective and a welcome sense of proportion.

#### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee did not meet this fiscal year.

### 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The committee's input was unique in that it represented an ever present insitutional presence--a kind of bureaucratic balance which can only be accomplished with a permanent presence.

## **20e.** Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? No meetings were held this fiscal year.

#### 21. Remarks

This committee was terminated on March 1, 2001. The committee was inactive in FY-01.

#### **Designated Federal Officer**

Willard J Isaacs Staff Officer, Security Policy Board Staff

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bilbray, James	12/15/2000	03/01/2001		Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Brooks, Thomas	03/01/1995	03/01/2001	AT&T	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Steward, Nina	03/01/1995	03/01/2001	Harrison and Associates	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Welch, Larry	03/01/1995	03/01/2001	Institute for Defense Analysis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

#### Number of Committee Members Listed: 4

### **Narrative Description**

**Number of Recommendations Comments** 

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations to will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?  0%	hat have been or			
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments				
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations to will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?  0%	hat have been or			
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments				
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?  Yes No Not Applicable				
Agency Feedback Comments				
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?				
	Checked if Applies			
Reorganized Priorities				
Reallocated resources				
Issued new regulation				
Proposed legislation				
Approved grants or other payments				
Other				
Action Comments				

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

### **Grant Review Comments**

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?		
	Checked if Applies	
Contact DFO		
Online Agency Web Site		
Online Committee Web Site		
Online GSA FACA Web Site		
Publications		
Other		

**Access Comments**